

## **Providing solar system water and high planetary angular momentum, using a return to Ringwood's core formation model, supported by the behavioural evolution of the mantle**

MILES F. OSMASTON

The White Cottage, Ripley, Surrey GU23 6JT, UK. (miles@osmaston.demon.co.uk)

Longstanding problems of the Solar System are:- the mean specific angular momentum (a.m.) of SS planetary materials is  $>10^5$  times the Sun's, and the origin of SS water. I have shown [1] that during a cool secondary stage of SS formation the nebular flow would be outward and could sufficiently build up each protoplanet's a.m. as it grew, moving outward too, in the flow. So attaining the high a.m. requires completion of growth during nebular presence, ruling out the post-nebula growth in cores-by-percolation models.

Those models do nothing for the origin of SS water, which is low in star-forming clouds. Ringwood's model (1960-1978) uses the nebula to reduce hot FeO erupted at the protoplanet's surface; the Fe is then 'subducted' to form the core. For Earth this would generate  $>400$  ocean volumes of reaction water, a SS benefit foreseen by Ringwood. The heat required is internal (accretion, gravitation, radiogenic) so orbital distance is immaterial; important for the cores in the Galilean moons. The inferred outward nebular motion implies the close-in nucleation of protoplanets, shielded from stellar heat by nebular dust opacity. Many exoplanets are close-in too.

Asteroids being too small for convective overturn, meteoritic irons must come from unsubducted positions, not cores. Ringwood-mode core formation made the early-Earth's mantle as wet as it could hold, seen in its behaviour and petrology. But at  $\sim 2.5$ Ga, its drying-out by ocean production reached a critical loss of water-weakening in the presence of interstitial melt [2], halting convective motion for  $\sim 270$ Ma [3,4], during which oxygenic life won its battle against MOR effusions, depositing BIF and oxygenating the atmosphere, which is why we are here [3,5]. The restart was in the 2-layer mantle mode that prevails today, with deep-keeled cratonic tectospheres of stiffened mantle [5].

### References (with titles)

- [1] Osmaston (2006) *GCA* **70** (18S) A465; A new scenario for forming the Sun's planetary system (and others?): dynamics, cores and chemistry (pt 2).  
Osmaston (2009) *Geophys. Res. Abstr.* **11**, EGU2009-12204-2; A new, mainly dynamical, two-stage scenario for forming the Sun's planetary system and its relation to exoplanet findings.  
Osmaston (2009) *Eur.Planet.Sci.Congr.Abst.* **4** EPSC2009-264; A two-stage scenario for forming the Sun's planetary system, with good links to exoplanet findings, arising from new physical insight on the gravitational process.  
Osmaston (2009) *Eur.Planet.Sci.Congr.Abst.* **4** EPSC2009-266. Construction and differing evolutionary outcomes of the terrestrial planets; insights provided by the 2-stage scenario for constructing planetary systems.
- [2] Hirth & Kohlstedt (1996) *EPSL* **144**, 93-108. Water in the oceanic upper mantle: implication for rheology, melt extraction, and the evolution of the lithosphere.
- [3] Osmaston (2001) Two-layer convection of the mantle. How is it possible? When did it change? What are its geochemical and plate tectonic implications?: *J.Conf Abstr (EUG XI volume)* **6** (1) 417.
- [4] Condie *et al* (2008) *GCA* **72** (12S) A175. Did plate tectonics shut down for 200 to 300 My during the Early Proterozoic?
- [5] Osmaston (2009) *Geophys.Res.Abst.* **11**, EGU2009-6359. Deep cratonic keels and a 2-layer mantle? Tectonic basis for some far-reaching new insights on the dynamical properties of the Earth's mantle: example motions from Mediterranean, Atlantic-Arctic and India.